



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/668,005	09/21/2000	Tomotoshi Sato	49986-0500	8312
29989 75	590 11/24/2004		EXAMINER	
HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP 1600 WILLOW STREET			HUTTON JR, WILLIAM D	
SAN JOSE, CA 95125			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2179	

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		()\				
Advisory Action	09/668,005	SATO, TOMOTOSHI				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Doug Hutton	2179				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 02 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoing rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application of the control	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	<u>[PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	nally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		o issues which were newly				
 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we 						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	,,					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	•					
10. Other:	1.	le Shertlens				
	cups.	HEATHER R. HERNDON RVISORY PATENT EXAMINER CHNOLOGY CENTER 2100				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Blumberg fails disclose generating preview document data "at the printing device." The examiner disagrees. Applicant defines a "printing device" as a "device with printing capabilities" or a "peripheral that includes at least a print process." As shown in Figure 2 of Blumberg, Virtual Builder can be on a print server connected to a printer. A print server that is connected to a printer is a "printing device" in that it is a device with "printing capabilities." The print server that is connected to a printer is directly involved in the printing process and thus has "printing capabilities." Moreover, a print server that is connected to a printer is also a "peripheral that includes at least a print process." As stated by Applicant, Blumberg discloses at print server that enables a user to print documents. Thus, the print server is directly involved in the "print process."

In regard to Applicant's argument for Claim 13 that Bando fails to disclose "generating preview document data at the printing device," the examiner does not rely on Bando to teach this limitation because the limitation is disclosed in Blumberg.